3 Councilmen Reply to **Questions in Open Letter**

thorne and southerly to our rance instead of going to neigh- Thursday, March 22, 1956 . TORRANCE PRESS most modern shopping district boring towns to shop-whereas,

at 101 and Hawthorne. We now the surrounding cities are should institute a complete infiltrating our outlying com. Torrance. It is my belief that ly the assessment district now plus proper zoning requireshuttle service through all our munities and taking our busi. this would not be the way to re- being set up, which is being ments for future developments; solve this issue. Off-street park- participated in by the business is a better answer than having new housing developments so ness.-FRANK BURK. that the people of the South Regarding a \$1,000,000 bond ing should be the particular property owners and merchants all the citizens in Torrance pay and Southwest Torrance area issue for off-street parking be- concern of those directly bene-could get in and out of Tor- ing put before the voters in fiting therefrom. Consequent- from parking meter revenues; a bond issue.—BOB JAHN.

Page 3

Three out of 15 City Council candidates replied to an open letter published in the Torrance Press two weeks ago. Replies were invited to help Torrance voters form a more concrete opinion of the candidates seeking election. Following are the questions asked and the answers given:

OIL DERRICKS

0

1. Despite a streamlined oil should be removed to cut down a fair trial and if at the end of field law passed by the present council, proper oil derrick provisions were sidestepped. Do wandering children, and un termined that it was not strong you intend to propose stricter sightly scenery to our city.— enough, some changes should be made so as to protect both legislation which will permit FRANK BURK. sides of interested parties, i.e., the removal of hazardous der-Regarding the new oil field the citizens of Torrance and the ricks which, for all practical ordinance and the removal of small businessmen, (oil field op-

purposes, are inoperative? derricks; it is my belief that erators who are also a part of Yes. I am in favor of a law this ordinance is a step in the Torrance) because as you know, that will cause the removal of right direction and the only the cost of removing the der-all derricks that are inoperative problem that can be foreseen at ricks and having a portable rig and the replacement of all this time, is that of enforce- to remove the casing, is an ex-working rigs with new modern ment. Already on a voluntary pensive program.—BOB JAHN. ones that will do away with basis many derricks have been OFF-STREET PARKING

the unsightly and unsafe ones. removed and many sumps Further I think that for safety cleaned and fenced. Others are sake, all should be properly being swallowed up by natural Torrance. However, Torrance fenced.-BILL KING. improvements such as sub-divi-Yes. I very definitely believe sions and industrial planning.

2. The need . for off-street parking is a serious problem in still does not have a law requiring individuals or firms planall inoperative oil well derricks This ordinance should be given



ning to construct new commercial enterprises to provide prop-





