Established 1914 GLENN W. PFEIL Publisher

REID L. BUNDY - Managing Editor

THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 1964

An Incredible Injustice

"The order of the State Public Utilities Commission taking \$80 million away from the Pacific Telephone Co. and depressing its earnings by cutting back its rates is an incredible piece of regulatory injustice."
"For the Commission to have the power to order a

utility to make refunds on rates it previously had said were reasonable would be unfair and could make a

shambles out of utility regulations in the state."

In those words by our esteemed contemporaries, the San Francisco Chronicle and the Sacramento Bee respectively-and in similar words and thoughts of many other newspapers and individuals throughout the stateincluding two Commission members—the PUC has been challenged sharply and indignantly for an amazing inept ad damaging misuse of authority.

It is not the money Pacific Telephone will have to return to its customers that is most at stake. It is the whole future of regulated utilities; yes, the future of the California business community. By ordering a retroactive refund (without, incidentally, any staff recommendation) the PUC jeopardized the orderly conduct of our great and essential utilities. How can a business be planned, capital invested, needed expansion provided on the basis of an approved rate of income when there hangs over it the Damocles sword of a possible, whimsical reversal such as that just performed by the Commission? What confidence could, a potential new industrial customer place in the commission's rate rulings? The Chronicle pointed out that Commissioner Peter

R. Mitchell-a dissenter in the action along with Commission Chairman William M. Bennett—as much as said the PT decision was a grandstand play. Certainly it was an "incredible injustice." Not just

to Pacific Telephone; to the people of California.

Best Poverty Weapon Unused

The much publicized "anti- ket price by means of a poverty" program of the na-tional Administration is pri-marily directed at families with incomes of less than

with incomes of less than \$3,000 a year.

These families, according to government estimates, spend at least two per cent of their income on food. This figures out to about \$840 a year for a \$3,000 family, which is virtually a subsistence level of food consumption. Thus, there is probably no single thing the federal government could do to combat poverty more effectively than to encourage the reduction of food prices.

The magazine Nation's Business points out in its current issue that housewives soon will have to pay as much as one cent a pound more for flour, because of the newly enacted farm bill increasing the price of wheat Bread prices also will be influenced upward. Yet for low family incomes, the greater portion of food budgets normally goes for flour and bread.

The federal government

The federal government entered into an International Coffee Agreement last year which sets price floors for producing countries but works against the interests of the American consumer. of the American consumer. Every one-cent increase in the price of coffee adds \$35 million to the food budget of American housewives.

In many metropolitan areas, milk prices are held high by government marketing regulations and price-fixing schemes. High milk prices chylously hit low in-

set price by means of a government-guaranteed premium paid both to foreign and domestic producers. The price of vegetable oils for cooking is pegged unreasonably high by Department of Agriculture regulations. Import restrictions are being placed on beef shipments from Australia and New Zealand in order to bolster prices to the consumer of American-grown beef.

And so it goes. But don't think the Administration isn't concerned about the consumer.

And so it goes. But don't think the Administration isn't concerned about the

age the reduction of food prices.

Is the government doing co? Hardly.

The Department of Agriculture is circulating a new pamphlet called "A Consumer's Guide to USDA Services." The pamphlet states:

cies." The pamphlet states:
"If you have any questions regarding (1) soil, water, domestic animals, fruits, vegetables, flowers, trees, shrubs, (2) foods, their preparation, care preservation, how to buy them, (3) nutrition, (4) planning kitchens or houses, (5) making or mending clothes, repairing carpets, (8) laundering, removing stains or (7) controlling insects—you can get a bulletin covering the subject (in most cases free of charge) or a personal answer to a specific question by writing a post card."

The mail response from Appalachia should be overwhelming.

Strength for These Days (From The Bible)

Ing regulations and price-fixing schemes, High milk prices obviously hit low income families with small children hardest,

\$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \f

Opinions of Others

If we can be the agent to keep the free world a united one, dictatorships cannot long threaten the peace of the world.—Bristol (Conn.) Press

Eight of each 10 casualties on America's highways last year were caused by human error and lack of judgment. And the speeder still ranks as the No. 1 killer of the road.—Albert Lea (Minn.) Tribune

The only alternative to a vigorous, profit-motivated, free-enterprise economy is the managed state. And America must support the former-or accept the latter!-Aiken (S.C.) Standard and Review

You might as well fall flat on your face as lean over too far backward.-New Oxford (Pa.) Item

Stop! Turn Back!



HERE AND THERE by Royce Brier

Wilson's 'Safe World' Still an Elusive Goal

Considerably over 40 years ago Woodrow Wilson made his ringing declaration on making the world "safe for democracy."

Though not so mellifluous as some Lincoln pronouncements about an untrammeled mankind, Wilson's phrase had some of the same mystical tone. Americans, horrified by the breakdown of the Western society, took it up as an article of faith, interweaving it with the American world outlook.

The considerably over 40 years and intervely the pieces when French colonialism fell apart. We proposed the pieces when French colonialism fell apart. We have been picking them up for going on 10 years, and every year there are more pieces to pick up.

We showed sympathy for the Vietnamese Buddhists when the Diem regime was persecuting them, and now the Vietnamese Catholics don't like us or our Ambass-down of the Western society, took it up as an article of faith, interweaving it with the American world outlook.

* * * Indeed, democracy had al-ready been imposed on an ostensibly willing Japan, and its seemed to be working. True, there was a hid-den relation between the Japanese and Koreans, who had been subjects of Imper-

chung Hee Park was freely elected President, but
free elections do not mean
democracy or effective selfgovernment. Park's regime
is authoritarian, and now
students in tens of thousands have rioted because
Park wants to make a trade
deal with prosperous, democratic Japan.

Nor is Mr. Wilson's concept of democracy notable
on Formosa, or in Indonesia.
In a vague way we felt
Dutch colonialism was obsolete in the great island
world off Asia, and we
helped the Indonesians, only
to have Sukarno pop up
with a cute blandishment
called "guided democracy,"
which is not democracy
ut totalitarianism.

In Southeast Asia, John

in thousands in Saigon to say so.

Not that anybody thinks the Khanh regime, whatever its religious leaning, is democratic, and nextdoor in Laos we lose reconnaisance planes to distinctly undemocratic gunfre. Asia does not care for Mr. Wilson's democracy, nor does the Mideast, nor is it effectively practiced anywhere in Africa.

But this is often the historical fate of great and

torical fate of great and thundering ideas, which look so right and so true when held up before a weary

BOOKS by William Hogan

Veteran Reporter Has Good Look at Johnson

William S. White is too professional a journalist and political cemmentator to produce an outright campaign biography. So you may be sure that "The Professional: Lyndon B. Johnson" is a far more polished and informed portrait than the pasteups and rehash of news clips that so often pass for political biographies in an election year.

A veteran Congressional reporter; author of the excellent book "Citadel: The Story of the U.S. Senate;" a fellow Texan who has observed Lyndon Johnson for years, White admires his subject's achievements and grasp of the art of politics. His book is an optimistic tour "inside Lyndon," his political life and philosophy is he a liberal; a Texas liberal; a conservative? He is a liberal who is also a realisit perhaps the most truly ist(perhaps the most truly professional master of the art of politics since Franklin D. Roosevelt.

White tells us that as a liberal, realist and majority leader of the Senate, Mr. leader of the Senate, Mr. Johnson often came close to runing the country during the Eisenhower years. He did so suavely, usually in committee, and without fireworks. And here is a revealing inside touch of this portrait in depth: While the Senate debated the motion

to censure McCarthy, Mr. complex network of political Johnson moved about the Senate chamber "like a commander looking for holes or soft spots in his line, leaving the speechmaking for others." Mr. Johnson's line in that case, as in so many others, held like stone. We hear that the Presi-

ical life, apparently with equal zest and zeal. This is the first truly revealing biography of Lyndon B. Johnson, It reads very well Johnson, it reads very wen indeed—like another book by another political reporter named White (Theodore H.): "The Making of a President, 1980".

Almost 20 years after the Allied landings in Normandy, the French political historian Robert 'Aron writes vividly of the French underground activity those landings triggered. 'France Reborn: The History of the Liber ation' (Schribner's 88.50), is a metriculously researched account of those times and events when, after a signal from London, and almost helpless resistance sprang into action that was terrifying to the occupying Germans. ing Germans.

Aron makes use of a counterpoint technique to assem-ble and bring into focus the

went into the freeing of a nation defeated, compro-mised of its honor and divided by factionalism. The austere, towering figure of de Gaulle dominates this long, precise drama nar-rated from the French point We hear that the President works a 16-hour day, apparently enjoying every minute of it. He has worked such hours most of his political life, apparently with equal zest and zeal. This is



July 4, 1964 FONSORED BY THE LOS ANGELS
JUNIOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
OVER 40 YEARS OF COMMUNITY

11 a.m.

Supreme Court Fumbled **Bible Reading Decision**

preme Court is a human institution and subject to human judgment. It is not supreme in the sense that it is always made up by supreme justices, or by supreme legal authorities, or by supreme human beings.

From time to time Su-preme Courts have reversed previous Supreme Court de-cisions. Which proves that the law this reporter learned at college is subject to the ravages of social change, if not the ravages of individual judicial tem-perament and social inter-

We realize the threading of highly technical ground ...and profess the corrod-ing influence of time and separation from our college

But we wish to discuss the Supreme Court's interpretation regarding Bible reading in the schools from a layman's point of view . . . seriously concerned with the effects of this decision, however, indically argumentation ever judicially argumenta-tive, on our society as

Since Mr. Earl Warren was appointed to the U.S. Supreme Court, there have appeared repeated patterns of judicial conclusions that give the public impressions that what the Supreme Court rules is "the law of the land."

If our memory serves us, the first thing a law student learns is that a Supreme Court decision is only "the law in the case." And noth-ing more. It is not the law

It is not binding on par-ties not before the court in ties not before the court in the sense, for instance, that an act of Congress or the Constitution itself would be, for all other Americans have not had a chance to be heard on the matter. They have not had their day in

* * * Also, since other Americans were not parties to the case, how can the Supreme Court force compliance? Of course, it can not.

The fact is that the Su preme Court can enforce its decrees only on the parties before it and only through use of the executive power.

If the Constitution held otherwise, the federal judiciary would become a third branch of the legislative, and an absolute one in its present surpred role. present usurped role.

In our opinion the U.S. Supreme Court interpretated the separation of church and state . . . to mean the separation of God and state Implying that man is not God's creature, but is subject to the rule of the majority will . . . that man has no innate right to pursue his happiness within the framework of liberty; but only within the framework of the Supreme Court's going interpretation of the U.S. Constitution.

The two decisions . . in

The two decisions . . in the Murray and Schempp cases . . appear to us to violate traditional concepts of government and the true, historical intention of the framers of the First and lath Amendments. 14th Amendments.

reflects the odd incoherence of the majority opinion:

"To withstand the stric-ture of the establishment clause (of the First Amend-ment) there must be a secu-lar legislative purpose and a primary effect that neither enhances nor in-hibits religion."

How can there conceivably be an effect of not enhancing or inhibiting religious ion from a secular . . . non-religious . . . legislative pur-

The court is trying to twist doctrine of separation of church and state, to repeat, to mean separation of God and state . . because in order to have a secular legislative purpose you must exclude God entirely. When you do this, you must neces-sarily inhibit religion.

This concept of a secular legislative purpose is not the true meaning of the First

Amendment, which only was to prohibit the federal government from recognizing an established church as the church of the United States, to the exclusion of all

The Supreme Court now implies . . . that the First Amendment, in prohibiting the recognition of an estab-

lishments of religion, recog-nized the existence of an atheistic, secular society which must also now be recognized by judicial interpre-tation. This is absurd. The Warren court also now says . . . that the First Amendment applies to the states, as well as to the fed-

eral government, through the binding nature of the 14th Amendment on the

hold up in the light of Con-stitutional law and history. Justice Story, in his famous,

"The whole power over the subject of religion is left by the First Amendment excluthe First Amendment exclusively to the states, to be acted upon according to their own sense of justice and state constitution, so that Catholic, Protestant, Calvinist, Armenian, Jew, or the infidel, may sit down at the comman table of the National Council without any

the comman table of the National Council without any inquisitions into their faith or mode of worship."

There is a solution. The Congress can simply pass a resolution affirming its opposition to the Bible deviation.

Further, it could exercise its Constitutional power to regulate the appellate jurisdiction of the U.S. Supreme

Court in its proper place . . . by such Constitutional checks and balances which give true meaning to the "eternal vigilance being the price of liberty."

OUR MAN by Arthur Hoppe

New Fun Game: Nose Counting

With all the confusion in the GOP Presidential race, it's reassuring to see the ace experts agree on three simple facts: (1) Mr. Goldwater needs 655 delegates to get the nomination. (2) He now has more than enough. And (3) that may not be enough.

While these three simple facts are perfectly simple the ace experts, they do seem to have given rise to certain questions among the general public. Like: "What the hell's going on around here?"

The problem, of course, is that many people tend to think of delegates as, simply, delegates. But to lump all delegates under the single heading of "Delegates" is a grave error. Moreover, it takes all the fun out of our current National Pastime. Which is called "Delegate Counting." Any number can play.

Now if you wish to take part in Delegate Counting, the first thing, you must learn is that there are many, many kinds of Delegates—Hard Delegates, Soft Delegates, Fluid Delegates, Holding Delegates, Leaning Delegates and many, many other kinds.

While Mr. Goldwater's grand total includes many, many kinds of Delegates, it should be obvious to even the beginner at Delegate Counting that some kinds are worth more than other kinds. For example, I'm sure not even the rankest amateur would give equal weight to a Heavily Leaning Delegate and a Fluid Delegate. Even if the latter were sober.

Thus we see the Delegate Counting poses rare challenges to the advanced player. Take the statement by Mr. Scranton's forces that their tiger has picked up nine Pretty Much for Sure Delegates in Kansas, plus gates worth three Hard Delegates? Or 17 Soft Delefive Probables. Are nine Pretty Much for Sure Delegates who may jell? How many Possibles equal a Probable? Shouldn't claims of probables be supported? Shouldn't Leaners be supported? How much weight can you place on an Uusupported Leaner?

And what about Slipping Delegates, who cause

slippage? This is currently of acute concern to Mr. Goldwater's friends. Will he get cold feet if he loses his Slippers?

To provide a simple answer to these and many other questions on the lips of all dedicated players, I have been working on a simplified Point System for Delegate Counting.

It is based on the value of a Learner, which is worth three points, as in horseshoes. The scale ranges all the way up to the brother-in-law of a candidate who sneaks onto the convention floor, poses as a Delegate and casts a for-sure favorable ballot. This is known as a Ringer and is, of course, worth five points.

By employing this simple Point System we are Consider the strange state.

able to clear up the complex confusion caused by the nent of Justice Clark which

ace experts. For by totting up the Leaners Ringare. ace experts. For by totting up the Leaners, Ringers, Hangers and whatnot, we find that Mr. Goldwater will have 792 Delegates in his hip pocket and we can therefore state flatly that he is a shoo-in. If enough of them

It's that simple.

Morning Report:

June is Dwight Eisenhower's month-no doubt about it. Twenty years ago it was D-Day in Normandy. He massed a "ghost army," attacked behind enemy lines, sent out phony radio messages—and generally left the Germans in complete confusion.

This June, he's doing the same thing to the Republican party. Thrusting from Palm Springs by way of a New York Herald-Tribune statement; countering from Gettysburg with a New York Times interview; telephoning Harrisburg, he has the situation completely

Everybody likes Ike. But nobody knows if Ike likes everybody or nobody.

Abe Mellinkoff