Hobby Show Date 25-Year Local Set for Mid-May Resident Dies

The Torrance Arts, Flowers, and Hobbies Club completed plans recently for a revival of their annual show and exhibi-

day ter to 11 the

their annual show and exhibi-tion. The first show was held in 1958 and was held each year until 1941 when it was suspend-ed due-ta the war. The show this year will be held in the Civic Auditorium on May 11, 21, 32, according to John Melville, president. The show will be folinly spon-sored by the Lions Club and the Optimist Club, with the cooper-ation of various other local service clubs and civic groups. The glub officers extended an invitation to all hobbyists, art-ists, and gardening enthusiasts to participate in the show. The exhibits will be limited to non-commercial entries.

Ribbons and plaques will be awarded the winning exhibits in the various divisions,

the various divisions, Those who may be contem-plating entering the show should arrange for display space by writing to "Hobbles," P. O. Box 35, Torrance, Calif.

Huge Market To Open Here

BO UPER MERCESevere for a modern self server for a modern self server for a modern self server to be built at 1321 Post aver to built built aver to built at 1321 Post aver to built built built aver to built built built aver to built bui

VALUABLE HIGHWAYS Philadelphia (8F)-The bigh-way system in the U.S. is esti-mated to be worth about \$41 light is that given off by the firefly.

Assorted Styles

Resiluciii. Dies Services will be held Monday at 1 p.m. in the Moorpark com-munity church for Edna Mary Russell, 55, resident here for the past 2' years, who died yesterday in her home at 2445 230th street. The Rev. Charles Arnold will officiate at the services. Burial will be in Barksdale cemetery. Besides her husband, Brack, Mrs. Russell leave two daugh-ters, Beuhah Noble, Trinity Com-ter, Calif, and Lucille Czerwinski, Etna, Calif, and Lucille Czerwinski, Etna, Calif, and Lucille Czerwinski, Etna, Calif, and Bud Horton of Moor-park; four sisters, Clara Car-rary, Sini, Calif, Emma Ever-et, Dixon, Calif, Marjorie Hem-lingway, Templeton, Calif, and Vania Barker, San Luis Obispo, and five grandchildre. Gamby Chapel of Lomita is in charge of funeral arrangements. TORMANCE HERALD

at public auction to the higher der for cash, payable in hawful of the United States at the sale, without warranty as to tit session or encounter

The united States at the time of sate, who want the time of conveyed to and now held by said Trustee under said deed of trust, in and the said deed of trust, in the sate of trust, in the sate of trust to a sate of the sate of the sate of the sate of the sate of California as per map recorded in Book 22, pages 84 and 85 of Maps-corder of said County. Bacept the Saterly 80 feet of Also except the Southerly 8 s feet of the remainder of the sate of the sate of the torset of the terminater of the sate for the sate of the sate of the sate for the sate of the sate of the sate for the sate of the torset of the terminater of the sate of the sate of the torset of the terminater of the sate Except the Estatory of feet of and 10: corp the Southerly 6.5 feehoo the transmoster of said jot. for the purpose of paying the obliga-tions secured by said deed of trust, or the purpose of paying the obliga-tion for the trust of the obliga-of the trust, attain of the obliga-phicipal of the nois secure thy said deed of trust, with interest theron of by law provided. Date: Fouriary 3, 1800 Date: Fouriary 3, 1800 Date: Saint Secretary. Feb. 9, 16, 22, 1800



ADAMS DRESS SHOP 1279 SARTORI AVENUE TORRANCE. CALIF.

charge of functal arrangements. TORRANCE HERALD NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE ON March 10, 1500, at the hour of byring Street, in the lobby at the Spring Street, and the other of the Land California, Street, and the other of the Land South Spring Street, in the city of Los Angeles, California, SOUTHERN, MARCH CALIFORNIA ESCHOW COMPANY, CALIFORNIA, STREAM, STREAM



For ten years the anti-trust lawyers have been attacking the business methods that make it possible to give the public the best quality food at the lowest prices.

In our last ad we told you how Federal Judge W. H. Atwell, at Dallas, threw the antitrust lawyers and all their inflammatory charges against A&P right out of his court. But the anti-trust lawyers were not satisfied with decisions against them by three

federal judges. They still wanted to destroy A&P.

They Appealed to New Orleans

So they appealed Judge Atwell's decision to the three-judge Circuit Court at New Orleans.

One of the three, Judge Curtis L. Waller, agreed with Judge Atwell that the case should be dismissed.

The other two members of the Circuit Court, Judge Joseph C. Hutcheson, Jr., and Judge Allen Cox, although saying the case should be tried, agreed that the indictment was vague and contained many allegations which were inflammatory.

They decided that Judge Atwell at Dallas should protect A&P from these inflammatory allegations and could order the anti-trust lawyers to supply the defendants with a bill of particulars.

So the case was back in Dallas again.

Judge Atwell, carrying out the decision of the Circuit Court, struck out the inflammatory matter.

He said that without this inflammatory and prejudicial matter the Grand Jury might never have returned the indictment. Judge Atwell said to the anti-trust lawyers:

"There are many statements in the indictment which are not at all in violation, and are highly prejudicial and inflammatory."

The anti-trust lawyers objected. They advanced an amazing argument. They said that the removal of their inflammatory allegations (which all four judges had agreed did not belong in the indictment) destroyed their case.

Judge Atwell instructed the anti-trust lawyers to furnish the court with a bill of particulars. In short, he wanted specific charges instead of vague generalities. He set the deadline for furnishing this material at January 15th, 1944.

When the anti-trust lawyers twice asked for more time, pleading sickness among their staff, Judge Atwell extended the time to February 25th because he believed that they were honestly trying, in good faith, to prepare the material he had requested.

Actually, it developed, they were using the time to get ready to drop the case in Dallas and start it in another court.

They Quit in Dallas

On February 26th, while the judge was still waiting for his answer, and without any previous notice to him, the anti-trust lawyers gave a story to the newspapers in Washington, announcing that they were dropping the case in Dallas.

They said that it was their intention "to file a substantially similar suff in an appropriate jurisdiction at an early date."

The "early date" turned out to be the same day.

As soon as one anti-trust lawyer killed the case in Dallas, another anti-trust lawyer filed a new case in Danville, Illinois. This new case made most of the same allegations that had been made and dropped in Dallas; and that are being made against us today.

So now, according to the anti-trust lawyers, all four judges who had ruled on the Dallas case were wrong.

Despite defeats in three federal courts in widely separated parts of the country, they continued their campaign to destroy A&P.

When Judge Atwell heard of their action he ordered the anti-trust lawyers to prepare an order for his signature dismissing the Dallas case.

In signing this order he said to the anti-trust lawyers:

"This nolle prosequi does not have the sanction or approval of this court. That is not necessary, nor that the government ask for the court's approval.

"It is, however, a matter that may be presented to the other court and may be of interest to the people at large."

So after their efforts to destroy A&P had failed in Washington, D. C., Wilson, North Carolina, and Dallas, Texas, the anti-trust lawyers moved on to Danville, Illinois,

They were still determined to destroy this company which had brought more and better food at lower cost to millions of American families.

They Were Wrong Three Times Before!

Three times the anti-trust lawyers went into federal courts and made serious and damaging charges against A&P.

Three times federal judges said the anti-trust lawyers were wrong and rendered decisions against them.

In previous ads in this series we told you about these other anti-trust "cases" involving us, which the judges said were not cases at all. We think you should know about these previous cases, because once again the anti-trust lawyers are making damaging "allegations" that could seriously affect our business if they were believed by the public.

There was the time in Washington, D. C., when they said we and other good American citizens conspired to fix the price of bread in that city.

This was the time-Federal Judge T. Alan Goldsborough ruled that A&P and the other defendants did not even need to put in a defense. He instructed the jury to bring in a verdict of "fot guilty".

It was the time Judge Goldsborough said to the anti-trust lawyers:

"If you were to show this record to any experienced trial lawyer in the world, he would tell you that there was not any epidence at all.

"Honestly, I have never in my over forty years' ex-perience seen tried a case that was as absolutely devoid of evidence as this. That is the honest truth. I have never seen one like it."

There was the time in Wilson, North Carolina, they said we and other good American citizens conspired to fix prices paid farmers for their potatoes. This was the time Federal Judge C. C. Wyche directed the jury to bring in a verdict of "not guilty".

It was the time Judge Wyche said to the anti-trust lawyers:

- "In my opinion there is no testimony produced from which it can reasonably be inferred that the de-fendants entered into a combination to depress or lower the price of potatoes.
- "I might say that I never tried a case in my life where a greater effort, more work, more investi-gation had been done, combing almost with a fine-tooth comb to gather evidence.
- "But, as was said a long time ago, you can't make brick without straw, and you can't make a case without facts."
- There was the time in Dallas, Texas, when they made practically the same "allegations" they are making today.

This was the time Federal Judge W. H. Atwell ruled that the case should not even be tried. He said that the indictment contained inflammatory statements that he would not permit to be presented to a jury. It was the time Judge Atwell said to the anti-trust

- wyers: "I know of no American rule, and I wish I had the power to underscore the word 'American,' which permits us to try a man because of his size. "If I thought I was presiding over a court and that I might have to sentence some person because he was a great big fellow, or because he was a Lilli-putian, I would feel like resigning. God knows we don'twant it ever to occur in America that the size is going to determine whether a man is guilty or innocent."

THE GREAT ATLANTIC &



PACIFIC TEA COMPANY

February 23, 1950

TORRANCE HERALD

Seven

A